



EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

EUROSYSTEM

**Alistair Dieppe**  
European Central Bank

# **Foreign Banks and Macroeconomic Fluctuations: Evidence from Financially Integrated Emerging Markets**

## **Discussion**

**ECB/Bank of Canada  
conference 8-9 June 2015**

The views expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the ECB

# Overview

**1** Summary of paper

**2** Some comments

## Study association between macroeconomic volatility and foreign banks

- **Macroeconomic volatility depresses investment and hinders economic development**
- **Foreign banks have different exposure to external and domestic liquidity compare to domestic banks**
  - **may imply different real effects :**
    - **Normal times: Foreign banks buffer idiosyncratic shocks or country-specific shocks due to diversification and access to foreign funds**
    - **Large scale and highly correlated shock: Foreign banks lose ability to absorb extreme shocks**



## Key Findings:

### 1. Presence of foreign banks

- Overall no significant effect on growth volatilities
- Higher levels of financial developments amplified output and consumption growth volatilities
- Lower levels of financial development mitigated investment volatilities.

### 2. During 2008/09 Global Financial Crisis (GFC)

- Foreign banks lose ability to absorb extreme shocks.
- Depends on level of financial intermediary development

### 3. Widespread variation (heterogenous) across regions

- Dampening volatility in Latin America
- Magnified volatility in Asia
- No effect in Emerging Europe (significantly affected by GFC).

# Overview

**1** Summary of the paper

**2** Some comments

## Exposure of (domestic) bank to abroad

- financing abilities, both assets & liabilities
- foreign banks funded through domestic deposits → mitigate volatility

## Currency mismatch

- local currency versus foreign currency funding & lending
- domestic borrowers unhedged → increase volatility

## Global financing

- Share of stock market & bond market owned by foreigners
- Financing via cross-border corporations
- Non-bank private sector claims (FDI etc)

# Are residuals a good measure of macroeconomic volatility?

Table A.1. First Stage Growth Panel Regressions

• Try 5-year moving average? →

Dependent Variable: Growth in Real

• Estimate with GMM to address endogeneity?

• Very few variables significant

• Missing financial variables credit to GDP, interest rates etc

• Too many dummies? →

• Unexplained variance is high →

	GDP	Private Consumption	Investment
<i>Intercept</i>	40.464 (64.697)	112.015 (85.569)	316.857 (320.313)
<i>Trade Openness</i>	6.656 *** (1.370)	6.501 *** (1.782)	28.185 *** (6.796)
<i>Capital Flows to GDP</i>	0.028 (0.047)	0.039 (0.062)	0.038 (0.234)
<i>Unemployment Rate</i>	-0.279 *** (0.100)	-0.504 *** (0.133)	-0.420 (0.480)
<i>Growth in Population</i>	0.017 (0.836)	0.003 (1.105)	1.218 (4.141)
<i>Years in Secondary School</i>	4.445 (5.304)	-1.401 (7.019)	-7.838 (26.300)
<i>External Debt to GDP</i>	-3.172 *** (0.695)	-3.785 *** (0.906)	-10.070 *** (3.374)
<i>Government to GDP</i>	-0.517 (1.435)	-3.625 * (1.895)	5.836 (7.132)
<i>Log(Expected Life)</i>	-13.181 (14.659)	-23.714 (19.362)	-86.586 (72.599)
<i>Log(1+Inflation)</i>	-4.393 *** (1.317)	-8.439 *** (1.698)	-14.231 ** (6.579)
<i>Local Crisis Dummy</i>	-5.131 *** (0.912)	-4.863 *** (1.204)	-23.702 *** (4.519)
<i>Log(Growth)<sub>-1</sub><sup>†</sup></i>	0.098 * (0.054)	0.135 ** (0.053)	-0.052 (0.056)
<i>Year and Country Fixed Effects</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>Adjusted R<sup>2</sup></i>	0.555	0.462	0.304
<i>F-statistic</i>	10.180***	7.310 ***	4.210 ***
<i>N</i>	355	355	355



## Foreign Bank presence not exogenous to economic conditions

- Need to distinguish between faster growth from impact on volatility
- Therefore control in first stage regression (Morgan & Strahan 2004)

## Global Financial Crisis (2008/2009)

- Globalisation of banking enhanced the international transmission
- A large-scale highly correlated shock → Estimate panel with Common Correlated Effects (Pesaran 2006)

## Local Crisis dummy

- Too much focus on GFC (2008/09) – look beyond
- Local crisis dummy (Laeven & Valencia 2012) excludes volatility due to banking, currency and sovereign debt crises between 1998-2011
- Does foreign bank involvement reduce number of crisis?

## More controls in volatility equation

- e.g. volatility due to commodities (Brazil), terms of trade, foreign demand, regulatory set-up etc.

